arXiv:0806.1665v2 [math.CV] 16 Jun 2008

EXPLICIT SOLUTIONS OF DIVISION PROBLEMS FOR MATRICES OF POLYNOMIALS

ELIN GÖTMARK

Abstract. We present integral representations of solutions to division problems in $\mathbb{C}^n$ involving matrices of polynomials. We also find estimates of the polynomial degree of the solutions by means of careful degree estimates of the so-called Hefer forms which are components of the representations.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. A division formula for tuples of polynomials 2
3. Constructing Hefer forms 6
4. The Buchsbaum-Rim complex 10
References 14

1. Introduction

Let $P(z) = (P_1(z), \dots, P_m(z))$ be a tuple of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and $\Phi(z)$ another polynomial in $\mathbb{C}^n$ which vanishes on the zero set Z of P. By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, we can find $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and polynomials $Q(z) = (Q_1(z), \dots, Q_m(z))$ such that

$$\Phi^\nu = P \cdot Q = P_1 Q_1 + \cdots + P_m Q_m.$$

There has been much research devoted to finding effective versions of this, i. e. determining bounds on ν and the polynomial degrees of the Q_i's. These degrees depend not only on the degrees of P and Φ, but on Z and the singularity of P at infinity.

A breakthrough in the problem of degree estimates came in [6], where Brownawell found bounds by a combination of algebraic and analytical methods. The optimal degrees, which are slightly better than Brownawell's, were found by Kollár in [11], using purely algebraic methods. There are also classical theorems by M. Nöther and Macaulay which treat simpler cases where one has conditions on the singularity.

In [1], the problem of solving

$$\Phi = P \cdot Q = P_1 Q_1 + \cdots + P_m Q_m \tag{1}$$

with degree estimates is treated by means of residue currents on $\mathbb{P}^n$ based on the Koszul complex. One can then recover the Nöther and Macaulay theorems. In the same article are explicit solutions Q constructed by means of integral representations; one then has some loss of precision in the degree estimates.

It is natural to look at generalizations of the division problem (1) to the case where P is a matrix. More precisely, we let P be a polynomial mapping $\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^m, \mathbb{C}^r)$, i. e. an $r \times m$ matrix P where the entries are polynomials in n complex variables. Let $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_r)$ be an r-column of polynomials. If we assume that there exists an m-column of polynomials Q such that $\Phi = PQ$, we want to find an explicit solution Q and get an estimate of its degree. We can reduce the case $r > 1$ to the case $r = 1$ by means of the Fuhrmann trick [7] (see Remark 1), however, we will then lose precision in the degree estimates.

The case $r > 1$ is treated in [3], where an estimate of the degree of the solution is obtained by means of residue currents on $\mathbb{P}^n$, based on the Buchsbaum-Rim complex. The aim of this paper is to present explicit integral representations of Q for $r \geq 1$. The paper [2] contains a method of obtaining explicit solutions to division problems $\Phi = PQ$ over open domains $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, and we will adapt this method so that we can use it on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Also, we present careful degree estimates of so-called Hefer forms, which make it possible to estimate the degree of the solutions. We will lose some precision in the degree estimates compared to [3], but in return we get explicit solutions. We also point out that in principle, explicit solutions can be obtained which satisfy the same degree estimates as in [3].

2. A DIVISION FORMULA FOR TUPLES OF POLYNOMIALS

Instead of solving the problem $\Phi = PQ$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$, we will homogenize the expression and look at the corresponding problem in $\mathbb{P}^n$ instead. Let $\zeta = (\zeta_0, \zeta') = (\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \dots \zeta_n)$. Set $\phi = \zeta_0^\rho \Phi(\zeta'/\zeta_0)$, where $\rho = \deg \Phi$; the entries of ϕ will then be ρ-homogeneous holomorphic polynomials in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, and can be interpreted as sections of a line bundle over $\mathbb{P}^n$. Assume that deg $(P^j) \leq d_j$, where P^j is column number j in P, and let $p(\zeta)$ be the matrix with columns $p^j(\zeta) = \zeta_0^{d_j} P^j(\zeta'/\zeta_0)$. We will try to solve the division problem $\phi = p \cdot q$ by means of integral representation. Generally, this is not always possible, so we will get a residue term ϕR^p as well. When ϕ annihilates the residue R^p, we can solve the division problem. Dehomogenizing q will give a solution to our original problem.

We will now find integral representation formulas which solve the division problem in a more general setting, and return to our original problem in Section 4. Let $S = \mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n]$ be the ring of holomorphic homogeneous polynomials over $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with the ordinary grading, and let $S(-k)$ denote the same ring, but where the grading is given by adding k to the degree of the polynomial. For example, the constants have degree k. Assume that we have a graded complex M of modules over $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$

$$0 \xrightarrow{f_{N+1}} M_N \xrightarrow{f_N} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_0 \to 0,$$

where $M_m = S(-d_m^1)\oplus\ldots\oplus S(-d_m^{r_m})$ has rank r_m and basis $e_{m1}, \ldots, e_{mr_m}$. We will take $d_0^i = 0$ for all i. Since the complex is graded, f_m must preserve the degree of elements of M_m. To attain this, we must have

$$f_m = \sum_{i=1}^{r_{m-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{r_m} f_m^{ij} e_{m-1,i} \otimes e_{mj}^*, \tag{2}$$

where f_m^{ij} is a $d_{m-1}^i - d_m^j$-homogeneous holomorphic polynomial. Let $M = M_0 + \cdots + M_N$. We define $f : M \to M$ to be such that $f_{|M_m} = f_m$.

Let $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ denote the tautological line bundle over $\mathbb{P}^n$, and if k is positive we let $\mathcal{O}(-k) := \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\otimes k}$ and $\mathcal{O}(k) := (\mathcal{O}(-1)^*)^{\otimes k}$. Note that sections of $\mathcal{O}(k)$ can be seen as k-homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Our complex over $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ has an associated complex E over $\mathbb{P}^n$, namely

$$0 \to E_N \xrightarrow{f_N} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} E_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} E_0 \to 0,$$

where

$$E_m = \sum_{i=1}^{r_m} \mathcal{O}(d_m^i) \otimes L_{mi}$$

and the L_{mi} are trivial line bundles with frames e_{mi}. We can then write

$$f_m = \sum_{i=1}^{r_{m-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{r_m} f_m^{ij} e_{m-1,i} \otimes e_{mj}^*,$$

where f_m^{ij} is the section of $\mathcal{O}(d_{m-1}^i - d_m^j)$ corresponding to f_m^{ij} in (2). Note that from E, we can obtain a complex E' of trivial vector bundles over $\mathbb{C}^n$, simply by taking the natural trivialization over the coordinate neighborhood $\{\zeta_0 \neq 0\}$. We have

$$0 \to E_N' \xrightarrow{F_N} \cdots \xrightarrow{F_2} E_1' \xrightarrow{F_1} E_0' \to 0, \tag{3}$$

where $F_m(\zeta') = f_m(1, \zeta')$ for $\zeta' \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and E_m' is a trivial vector bundle of rank r_m over $\mathbb{C}^n$.

We introduce a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on E, by writing $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$ where $E^+ = \bigoplus E_{2k}$ and $E^- = \bigoplus E_{2k+1}$, and we say that sections of E^+ have even degree and sections of E^- have odd degree. This induces a grading $\mathrm{End}E = (\mathrm{End}E)^+ \oplus (\mathrm{End}E)^-$ where a mapping $f : E \to E$ has odd degree if it maps $E^+ \to E^-$ and $E^- \to E^+$, and similarly for even mappings. We also get an induced grading on currents taking values in E, that is, $\mathcal{D}'_{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathbb{P}^n, E)$, by combining the gradings on $\mathcal{D}'_{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ and E, so that $\alpha \otimes \phi = (-1)^{\deg\alpha\cdot\deg\phi}\phi \otimes \alpha$ if α is a current and ϕ a section of E. Similarly, we get an induced grading on $\mathcal{D}'_{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathrm{End}E)$, then $\bar\partial$ is an odd mapping. Since f is odd and holomorphic, it is easy to show that $\bar\partial \circ f = -f \circ \bar\partial$.

Let Z_i be the set where f_i does not attain its highest rank, and set $Z = \bigcup Z_i$. To construct integral formulas, we need to find currents U_k with bidegree $(0, k-1)$ and R_k with bidegree $(0, k)$, with values in $\mathrm{Hom}(E_0, E_k)$, such that if $U = \sum_k U_k$ and $R = \sum_k R_k$, then

$$(f - \bar{\partial})U = I_{E_0} - R. \tag{4}$$

For the reader's convenience, we indicate the construction here, but see [5] for details. We first define mappings $\sigma_i : E_{i-1} \to E_i$ defined outside Z_i, such that $f_i \sigma_i = \mathrm{Id}$ on $\mathrm{Im} f_i$, σ_i vanishes on $(\mathrm{Im} f_i)^\perp$, and $\mathrm{Im}\sigma_i \perp \mathrm{Ker} f_i$. Now, set $u_1 = \sigma_1$ and $u_k = \sigma_k \bar{\partial}\sigma_{k-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\partial}\sigma_1$. We have $(f - \bar{\partial})u = \sum_k (f_k u_k - \bar{\partial} u_k) = f_1 \sigma_1 + \sum_k (\bar{\partial} u_{k-1} - \bar{\partial} u_k) = \mathrm{Id}_{E_0}$ outside Z. To extend u over Z, we let U be the analytical continuation of $|f|^{2\lambda} u$ to $\lambda = 0$, then we get (4). Note that for degree reasons we necessarily have $U_k = 0$ for $k > \mu + 1$, where $\mu = \min(n, N-1)$.

We will need to recall a proposition about representation of holomorphic sections of line bundles over $\mathbb{P}^n$. See for example [8] for more on this. Let $z = (1, z')$ be a fixed point, and set

$$\eta = 2\pi i \sum_0^n z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i}.$$

If we express a projective form in homogeneous coordinates, we can let contraction with η act on it and get a new projective form. In fact, we will get an operator

$$\delta_\eta : \mathcal{D}'_{l+1,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k)) \to \mathcal{D}'_{l,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k-1)),$$

where $\mathcal{D}'_{l,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(k))$ denotes the currents of bidegree $(l, 0)$ taking values in $\mathcal{O}(k)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\phi(\zeta)$ be a section of $\mathcal{O}(\rho)$, and let $g(\zeta, z) = g_{0,0} + \ldots + g_{n,n}$ be a smooth form, where $g_{k,k}$ is of bidegree (k, k) and takes values in $\mathcal{O}(\rho + k)$. Assume that $(\delta_\eta - \bar{\partial})g = 0$ and $g_{0,0}(z, z) = \phi(z)$. Then we have*

$$\phi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} (g \wedge \alpha^{n+\rho})_{n,n},$$

where

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 = \frac{z \cdot \bar{\zeta}}{|\zeta|^2} - \bar{\partial} \frac{\bar{\zeta} \cdot d\zeta}{2\pi i |\zeta|^2}.$$

The idea now is to find a weight g that contains f_1 as a factor, and then apply Proposition 2.1. As components of the weight, we need so-called Hefer forms. We define $f_m^z : E_m \to E_{m-1}$ to be the mapping

$$f_m^z = \sum_{i=1}^{r_{m-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{r_m} \zeta_0^{d_{m-1}^i - d_m^j} f_m^{ij}(z) e_{m-1,i} \otimes e_{mj}^*.$$

Proposition 2.2. *There exist $(k-l,0)$-form-valued mappings $h_k^l(\zeta,z): E_k \to E_l$, such that $h_k^l = 0$ for $k < l$, $h_l^l = I_{E_l}$, and*

$$\delta_\eta h_k^l = h_{k-1}^l f_k - f_{l+1}^z h_k^{l+1}$$

Moreover, the coefficients of the form $(h_k^l)_{\alpha\beta}$, that is, the coefficient of $e_{l\alpha} \otimes e_{k\beta}^*$, will take values in $\mathcal{O}(d_l^\alpha - d_k^\beta + k - l)$, and can be chosen so that they are holomorphic polynomials in z' of degree $d_l^\alpha - d_k^\beta - (k-l)$.

We will prove this in the next section. Now we can define our weight:

Proposition 2.3. *If*

$$g = f_1^z \sum h_k^1 U_k + \sum h_k^0 R_k = f_1^z h^1 U + h^0 R,$$

then $g_{0,0}|_\Delta = I_{E_0}$ and $\nabla_\eta g = 0$.

The following proof is identical to the one in Section 5 in [2], except that our proof will be in $\mathbb{P}^n$ instead of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We include it for the reader's convenience.

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\nabla_\eta g = f_1^z[(h^1 f - f^z h^2)U - h^1 \bar\partial U] + (h^0 f - f^z h^1)R - h^0 \bar\partial R. \tag{5}$$

Note that one has to check the total degree of h^1 and h^0 to get all the signs correct. Since $\bar\partial U = fU - R - I_{E_0}$ and $f^2 = 0$, the right hand side of (5) is equal to

$$f_1^z[h^1 fU - h^1(fU - R - I_{E_0})] + h^0(fR - \bar\partial R) - f^z h^1 R.$$

Furthermore, we have $h^1 I_{E_0} = 0$ and $(f - \bar\partial)R = (f - \bar\partial)(R + I_{E_0}) = (f - \bar\partial)^2 U = 0$, so it follows that $\nabla_\eta g = 0$. We also have $g_{0,0} = f^z h_1^1 U_1 = f^z U_1$, so that $g_{0,0}|_\Delta = fU_1 = I_{E_0}$. □

This is the main result of this section:

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\phi(\zeta)$ be a section of $\mathcal{O}(\rho) \otimes E_0$. Fix $z = (1, z')$ and let $\Phi(z') = \phi(1, z')$, and $F_1(z') = f_1(1, z')$. If g is defined as in Proposition 2.3, we have the following decomposition:*

$$\Phi(z') = \int_\zeta g\phi \wedge \alpha^{n+\rho} = F_1(z')Q(z') + \int_\zeta h^0 R\phi \wedge \alpha^{n+\rho},$$

where $Q(z') = \sum_1^{r_1} Q_i(z') e_{1j}$ with

$$Q_i(z') = \int_\zeta \zeta_0^{-d_1^i} (h^1 U\phi)_i(\zeta, z) \wedge \alpha^{n+\rho}.$$

If $R\phi = 0$, the second integral will vanish and we get a solution to our division problem. Moreover, we get the estimate $\deg_{z'} F_1 Q \leq \max_{k,\beta}(\rho - d_k^\beta)$.

Proof. First, we note that

$$g\phi = \sum_{j=1}^{r_0} (g\phi)_j e_{0j},$$

and according to Proposition 2.3, $(g\phi)_j$ is a weight of the type needed to apply Proposition 2.1. Now we need only check the degree in z'. The term in $(g\phi)_j$ of bidegree (k, k) is $(f_1^z h_{k+1}^1 U_{k+1}\phi)_j$ (if we disregard the terms containing R). We must pair this with $\alpha_0^{\rho+k}\alpha_1^{n-k}$ to get something with full bidegree in z.

The degree in z' of a term in

$$\sum_{k,\alpha,\gamma,\beta} (f_1^z)_{j\alpha}(h_{k+1}^1)_{\alpha\beta}(U_{k+1})_{\beta\gamma}\phi_\gamma \wedge \alpha_0^{\rho+k}\alpha_1^{n-k}$$

is $-d_1^\alpha + (d_1^\alpha - d_{k+1}^\beta - k) + (\rho + k) = \rho - d_k^\beta$. We get the estimate $\deg F_1 Q \leq \max_{k,\beta}(\rho - d_{k+1}^\beta)$. □

3. Constructing Hefer forms

In this section we construct Hefer forms for the complexes E and E', and investigate their degrees. We first state and prove a more general theorem, and obtain as a corollary Hefer forms H_k^l with specific degrees for the complex E' over $\mathbb{C}^n$. From the H_k^l we get corresponding Hefer forms h_k^l for the complex E over $\mathbb{P}^n$, i. e. we prove Proposition 2.2 in the previous section.

Let $\delta_{\zeta-z}$ denote contraction with the vector field

$$2\pi i \sum_1^n (\zeta_j - z_j)\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_j}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\phi(\zeta, z)$ be a $(l, 0)$-form with holomorphic polynomials of degree r for coefficients. If $l > 0$ and $\delta_{\zeta-z}\phi = 0$, we have $\phi = \delta_{\zeta-z}\psi$, where ψ is a $(l+1, 0)$-form with holomorphic polynomials of degree $r-1$ for coefficients. If $l = 0$, let $\phi(\zeta)$ be a holomorphic polynomial. We can then write $\phi(\zeta) - \phi(z) = \delta_{\zeta-z}\psi$, where ψ satisfies the same conditions as above.*

For the proof of Theorem 3.1, we need a lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$ be multiindices and D_R the ball with radius R in $\mathbb{C}^n$. We have*

$$I_{\alpha,\beta,R} := \int_{\partial D_R} w^\alpha \bar{w}^\beta \partial |w|^2 \wedge (\partial\bar{\partial}|w|^2)^{n-1} = 0 \tag{6}$$

if $\alpha \neq \beta$ and (6) is non-zero and proportional to $R^{2(|\alpha|+n)}$ if $\alpha = \beta$.

Proof. (of Lemma 3.2) The integral is unchanged if we take the pullback of the integrand by the function $A(w) = (\lambda_1 w_1, \dots \lambda_n w_n)$, where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\lambda| = |(\lambda_1, \dots \lambda_n)| = 1$. We have

$$I_{\alpha,\beta,R} = \int_{\partial D_R} (Aw)^\alpha (\overline{Aw})^\beta \partial |Aw|^2 \wedge (\partial\bar\partial |Aw|^2)^{n-1} = \lambda^\alpha \bar\lambda^\beta I_{\alpha,\beta,R}.$$

It is now clear that $I_{\alpha,\beta,R} = 0$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$. A similar calculation gives

$$I_{\alpha,\alpha,R} = \int_{\partial D} (Rw)^\alpha (R\bar w)^\alpha \partial |Rw|^2 \wedge (\partial\bar\partial |Rw|^2)^{n-1} = R^{2(|\alpha|+n)} I_{\alpha,\alpha,1},$$

which shows that $I_{\alpha,\alpha,R}$ is proportional to $R^{2(|\alpha|+n)}$. □

Proof. (of Theorem 3.1) The setup here is the same as in Section 4 in [2]. We view $E = T^*_{1,0}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ as a rank n vector bundle over $\mathbb{C}^n$ and let $f(\zeta) = 2\pi i(\zeta - z)\cdot e^*$, where $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is fixed, be a section of $E^* = T_{1,0}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. We can now express the contraction $\delta_{\zeta - z}$ as δ_f operating on E. Take a section $\phi(\zeta, z)$ of $\Lambda^l E$ with holomorphic polynomials of degree r for coefficients. We want to show that $\phi = \delta_f \psi$, where ψ is a section of $\Lambda^{l+1}E$ with holomorphic polynomials of degree $r-1$ for coefficients.

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n_w$ be the unit ball. We let $h = dw \wedge e^*$, then $\delta_{w-\zeta} h = 2\pi i(w-\zeta)\cdot e^* = f(w) - f(\zeta)$, so that h will work as a Hefer form. Let

$$\sigma = \frac{\bar w \cdot e}{2\pi i(|w|^2 - \bar w \cdot z)},$$

then $\delta_{f(w)}\sigma = 1$ if $w \neq z$. Set $u = \sum \sigma \wedge (\bar\partial\sigma)^k$, note that u depends holomorphically on z. Since u is integrable, we let U be the trivial extension over z. Moreover, we have $(\delta_{f(w)} - \bar\partial)U = 1 - R$, where $R_k = 0$ for $k < n$ and $(\delta_h)_n R_n = [z]$, where $[z]$ denotes the (n,n)-current point evaluation at z. This follows, for example, from Theorem 2.2 in [4]. Let $\phi(\zeta, z)$ be a section of $\Lambda^l E$ with $l > 0$ which satisfies $\delta_{f(\zeta)}\phi = 0$. We set

$$g(w,\zeta) = \delta_{f(\zeta)} \sum \delta_h^{k-1}(U_k \phi(w,z)) + \sum \delta_h^k (R^k \phi(w,z));$$

a calculation shows that $(\delta_{w-\zeta} - \bar\partial)g = 0$ and $g_{0,0}(\zeta,\zeta) = \phi(\zeta, z)$. Note that the second sum is actually zero since $R \wedge \phi = 0$.

Now define

$$g_1(w,\zeta) = \chi_D - \bar\partial\chi_D \wedge \frac{s}{\nabla_{w-\zeta} s} = \chi_D - \bar\partial\chi_D \wedge \sum s \wedge (\bar\partial s)^k$$

where

$$s = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\bar w \cdot dw}{|w|^2 - \bar w \cdot \zeta}.$$

Note that $(\delta_{w-\zeta} - \bar\partial)g_1 = 0$ and $(g_1)_{0,0}(\zeta,\zeta) = 1$. Also, g_1 depends holomorphically on ζ.

We can now take a current $u = u_{1,0} + \ldots + u_{n,n-1}$ that is smooth outside ζ and such that $(\delta_{w-\zeta} - \bar\partial)u = 1 - [\zeta]$. We have $(\delta_{w-\zeta} -$

$\bar{\partial})(u \wedge g \wedge g_1) = g \wedge g_1 - [\zeta] \wedge g \wedge g_1 = g \wedge g_1 - \phi(\zeta, z)[\zeta]$, so that $\bar{\partial}(u \wedge g \wedge g_1)_{n,n-1} = \phi(\zeta, z)[\zeta] - (g \wedge g_1)_{n,n}$. Stokes' theorem gives us $\phi(\zeta, z) = \delta_{f(\zeta)} T\phi$ where

$$T\phi = \int_{\partial D_w} \sum_{1}^{n-l} \frac{\bar{w} \cdot e \wedge (\delta_h)_{k-1}[(d\bar{w} \cdot e)^{k-1} \wedge \phi] \wedge \partial |w|^2 \wedge (\frac{i}{2\pi} \partial\bar{\partial}|w|^2)^{n-k}}{2\pi i (1 - \bar{w} \cdot z)^k (1 - \bar{w} \cdot \zeta)^{n-k+1}}.$$

This is seen by noting that $\delta_h \sigma \wedge s = 0$ and that

$$\sigma \wedge \bar{\partial}\sigma = \sigma \wedge \frac{d\bar{w} \cdot e}{|w|^2 - \bar{w} \cdot z}.$$

First, note that $T\phi$ is holomorphic in ζ and z and takes values in $\Lambda^{l+1}E$. To see that the coefficients are polynomials of degree $r-1$, we write

$$\frac{1}{1 - \bar{w} \cdot \zeta} = \sum_{0}^{\infty} (\bar{w} \cdot \zeta)^k$$

and similarly for $1/(1 - \bar{w} \cdot z)$. The only place in $T\phi$ where w's occur is in ϕ, and according to Lemma 3.2 we need to match those up with a corresponding number of $\bar{w}$'s. These can come either from the factor $\bar{w} \cdot e$, or from the geometric expansions. It is clear that if we start with a term in $\phi(w, z)$ which is of degree r in w, after we integrate we shall get a term which is of combined degree $r - 1$ in ζ and z. It is easy to see that the same thing is also true if we start with a term which is of combined degree r in z and w. The case when $\phi(w)$ takes values in the trivial bundle is proved in a similar way. ☐

We will now find Hefer forms for the complex E' by means of Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 3.3. *There exist $(k-l, 0)$-form-valued mappings $H_k^l : E_k \to E_l$, where the coefficients are holomorphic polynomials in ζ and z, such that $H_k^l = 0$ for $k < l$, $H_l^l = I_{E_l}$, and*

$$\delta_{\zeta - z} H_k^l = H_{k-1}^l F_k(\zeta) - F_{l+1}(z) H_k^{l+1}. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, the polynomial degree of $(H_k^l)_{\alpha\beta}$ (that is, the coefficient of $e_{l\alpha} \otimes e^*_{k\beta}$) is $d_l^\alpha - d_k^\beta - (k-l)$.

Proof. The proof will be by induction, and by application of Theorem 3.1. For $k - l = 1$ we must solve $\delta_{\zeta - z} H_{l+1}^l = F_{l+1}(\zeta) - F_{l+1}(z)$. We can apply Theorem 3.1 to $(H_{l+1}^l)_{\alpha\beta}$, and it follows that $(H_{l+1}^l)_{\alpha\beta}$ is a $(1, 0)$-form whose coefficients are holomorphic polynomials of degree $d_l^\alpha - d_{l+1}^\beta - 1$.

Assume that the proposition holds for H_k^l with $k - l = i$. We first prove that the right hand side of (7) is $\delta_{\zeta - z}$-closed. We have

$$\delta_{\zeta - z}(H_{k-1}^l F_k(\zeta) - F_{l+1}(z) H_k^{l+1}) =$$

$$= (H_{k-2}^l F_{k-1}(\zeta) - F_{l+1}(z) H_{k-1}^{l+1}) F_k(\zeta) - F_{l+1}(z)(H_{k-1}^{l+1} F_k(\zeta) - F_{l+2}(z) H_k^{l+2}) = 0,$$

since E' is a complex. Now regard the

$$\delta_{\zeta-z}(H_k^l)_{\alpha\beta} = (H_{k-1}^l F_k(\zeta) - F_{l+1}(z) H_k^{l+1})_{\alpha\beta} =$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma=1}^{r_{k-1}} (H_{k-1}^l)_{\alpha\gamma} (F_k(\zeta))_{\gamma\beta} - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{r_{l+1}} (F_{l+1}(z))_{\alpha\gamma} (H_k^{l+1})_{\gamma\beta}.$$

where $k-l = i+1$. The right hand side is a form of bidegree $(k-l-1, 0)$, and it is easy to see by examining the sums that the coefficients are polynomials of degree $d_l^\alpha - d_k^\beta - (k-l-1)$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $(H_k^l)_{\alpha\beta}$ exists and is a form of bidegree $(k-l, 0)$ whose coefficients are holomorphic polynomials of degree $d_l^\alpha - d_k^\beta - (k-l)$. □

We will now prove Proposition 2.2 by adapting the Hefer forms H_k^l to the complex E on $\mathbb{P}^n$. From now on, we change notation so that $\zeta = (\zeta_0, \zeta') \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and $z = (1, z') \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.

Proof. (of Proposition 2.2) The form $(H_k^l)_{\alpha\beta}$ has bidegree $(k-l, 0)$, so we have

$$(H_k^l)_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{|I|=k-l} a_I d(\zeta')_I.$$

We set

$$(h_k^l)_{\alpha\beta}(\zeta, z') = \zeta_0^{d_l^\alpha - d_k^\beta + (k-l)} \sum_{|I|=k-l} a_I(\zeta'/\zeta_0, z') d(\zeta'/\zeta_0)_I.$$

It is clear that $(h_k^l)_{\alpha\beta}$ is a projective form, since we are multiplying with a high enough degree of ζ_0, and that it takes values in the right line bundle. Now, note that

$$\delta_\eta d(\zeta_j/\zeta_0) = z_j/\zeta_0 - \zeta_j/\zeta_0^2.$$

We have

$$\delta_\eta (h_k^l)_{\alpha\beta} = \zeta_0^{d_l^\alpha - d_k^\beta + (k-l-1)} \sum_{|I|=k-l} \sum_{j\in I} \pm a_I(\zeta'/\zeta_0, z')(z_j - \zeta_j/\zeta_0) d(\zeta'/\zeta_0)_{I\setminus j} =$$

$$= \zeta_0^{d_l^\alpha - d_k^\beta + (k-l-1)} \delta_{\zeta'/\zeta_0 - z'} (H_k^l)_{\alpha\beta}(\zeta'/\zeta_0, z') =$$

$$= \zeta_0^{d_l^\alpha - d_k^\beta + (k-l-1)} (H_{k-1}^l(\zeta'/\zeta_0, z') f_k(\zeta'/\zeta_0) - f_{l+1}(z') H_k^{l+1}(\zeta'/\zeta_0, z'))_{\alpha\beta} =$$

$$= (h_{k-1}^l f_k - f_{l+1}^z h_k^{l+1})_{\alpha\beta},$$

so we are finished. □

4. The Buchsbaum-Rim complex

We will now introduce the Buchsbaum-Rim complex, which is a special case of our complex E over $\mathbb{P}^n$. For more details about this complex see e. g. [3]. Let $P : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^m, \mathbb{C}^r)$ be a generically surjective polynomial mapping and Z the set where P is not surjective. The mapping P will have the role of F_1 in the complex (3). Assume that $\deg(P^j) \leq d_j$, where P^j is number j in P, and $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \cdots$. Let $p(\zeta)$ be the matrix with columns $p^j(\zeta) = \zeta_0^{d_j} P^j(\zeta'/\zeta_0)$. The mapping p will have the role of f_1 in (3). If $L_1, \ldots, L_m$ are trivial line bundles over $\mathbb{P}^n$, with frames $e_1, \ldots, e_m$, we can define the rank m bundle

$$E_1 = (\mathcal{O}(-d_1) \otimes L_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathcal{O}(-d_n) \otimes L_m).$$

Let E_0 be a trivial vector bundle of rank r, with the frame $\{\epsilon_j\}$, and let p_{ij} be the i:th element in the column p^j. We can then view

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{r} p_{ij} \epsilon_i \otimes e_j^*$$

as a mapping from E_1 to E_0. Contraction with p acts as a mapping $\delta_p : E_1 \otimes E_0^* \to \mathbb{C}$.

Now, note that we can also write $p = \sum p_i \otimes \epsilon_i$ where $p_i = \sum_j p_{ij} e_j^*$ is a section of E_1^*. Let

$$\det p = p_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge p_r \otimes \epsilon_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \epsilon_r.$$

We now get a complex

$$0 \to E_{m-r+1} \xrightarrow{\delta_p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_p} E_3 \xrightarrow{\delta_p} E_2 \xrightarrow{\det p} E_1 \xrightarrow{p} E_0 \to 0$$

over $\mathbb{P}^n$, where for $k \geq 2$ we have

$$E_k = \Lambda^{k+r-1} E_1 \otimes S^{k-2} E_0^* \otimes \det E_0^*,$$

and $S^l E_0^*$ is the subbundle of $\bigotimes E_0^*$ consisting of symmetric l-tensors of E_0^*. By $f : E \to E$ we mean the mapping which is either p, $\det p$ or δ_p, depending on which E_k we restrict it to. We assume that r is odd, so that $\bar\partial \circ \det f = -\det f \circ \bar\partial$ and consequently $\bar\partial \circ f = -f \circ \bar\partial$. If r is even, one has to insert changes of sign at some places.

Next, we can construct currents U_k with bidegree $(0, k-1)$ and R_k with bidegree $(0, k)$, with values in $\mathrm{Hom}(E_0, E_k)$, such that $(f - \bar\partial)U = I_{E_0} - R$, or in other words

$$pU_1 = I_{E_0}, \quad (\det p)U_2 - \bar\partial U_1 = -R_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_p U_{k+1} - \bar\partial U_k = -R_k$$

for $k \geq 2$. See [3] for details of the construction.

Now, recall that we want to solve the division problem $\Phi = PQ$, where $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_r)$ is an r-column of polynomials of degree ρ. The homogenized version of this is $\phi = pq$, where ϕ takes values in $\mathcal{O}(\rho) \otimes E_0$, so that we can apply Theorem 2.4. To do that, we have to determine the d_k^j of the Buchsbaum-Rim complex.

For E_0 we have $r_0 = r$ and $d_0^j = 0$ for all j, and for E_1 we have $r_1 = m$ and $d_1^j = -d_j$. Furthermore, for E_k with $k \geq 2$ we have $r_k = \binom{m}{k+r-1} r^{k-2}/(k-2)!$, where $\binom{m}{k+r-1}$ is the dimension of $\Lambda^{k+r-1}E_1$ and $r^{k-2}/(k-2)!$ is the dimension of $S^{k-2}E_0^*$. However, it is only the factor $\Lambda^{k+r-1}E_1$ that contributes with any non-trivial line bundles, and and so we will not be interested in the factors in $S^{k-2}E_0^* \otimes \det E_0^*$. We then have $d_k^I = -\sum_{i\in I} d_i$ where $|I| = k+r-1$, by which we mean that the coefficient of the basis vector e_I in $\Lambda^{k+r-1}E_1$ will take values in $\mathcal{O}(d_k^I) = \mathcal{O}(-\sum_{i\in I} d_i)$.

Recall that $f_k = \delta_p : E_k \to E_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 2$. Let f_k^{IJ} be the coefficent of $e_I \otimes e_J^*$, where $|I| = k+r-2$, $|J| = k+r-1$ and $I \subset J$. Then f_k^{IJ} takes values in

$$\mathcal{O}(d_{k-l}^I - d_k^J) = \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i\in I} d_i - \sum_{i\in J} d_i) = \mathcal{O}(d_j)$$

where $\{j\} = J \setminus I$. In the same way, $H_k^l : E_k \to E_l$, and for $k, l \geq 2$, we have that $(H_k^l)^{IJ}$ is a $(k-l)$-form whose coefficients take values in $\mathcal{O}(\sum_{i\in J\setminus I} d_i + k - l)$ and are holomorphic polynomials in z of degree $\sum_{i\in J\setminus I} d_i - (k-l)$. Also, $(H_k^l)^{IJ} = 0$ if $I \not\subset J$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $P(\zeta') : \mathbb{C}^n \to Hom(\mathbb{C}^m, \mathbb{C}^r)$ be a generically surjective polynomial mapping where the columns P^j have degree d_j, and $\Phi(\zeta') = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_r)$ a tuple of polynomials with degree ρ. We have the following decomposition:*

$$\Phi(z') = P(z')Q(z') + \int_\zeta H^0 R\phi \wedge \alpha^{n+\rho},$$

where $Q(z') = \sum_1^m Q_i(z') e_j$ with

$$Q_i(z') = \int_\zeta \zeta_0^{d_i} (h^1 U\phi)_i \wedge \alpha^{n+\rho}.$$

If $R\phi = 0$, the second integral will vanish and we get a solution to the division problem. Moreover, the polynomial degree of PQ is $\rho + d_1 + \ldots + d_{\mu+r}$, where $\mu = \min(n, m-r)$.

Proof. From Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\deg PQ \leq \max_{k,I}(\rho - d_k^I).$$

Since $d_k^I = -\sum_{i\in I} d_i$ with $|I| = k+r-1$, the degree is obviously largest when k is maximal and when we choose $I = (d_1, \dots, d_{k+r-1})$. We recall that $U_k = 0$ if $k > \mu + 1$, where $\mu = \min(n, m-r)$, and the theorem follows. □

Example 1. If $r = 1$, the Buchsbaum-Rim complex will simply be the Koszul complex. To obtain Hefer forms, we first find a $(1,0)$-form $h(\zeta, z') = \sum h_{ij} \epsilon_i \otimes e_j^*$ taking values in $\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}\,(E_1, E_0)$, such that

$\delta_\eta h = p - p^z$. It is then easy to show that $h_k^l = (\delta_h)^{k-l}$ will act as a Hefer form. We get the decomposition

$$\Phi(z') = P(z')Q(z') + \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \sum_k \delta_h^k (R^k \phi(\zeta)) \wedge \alpha^{n+\rho},$$

where $Q(z') = \sum_1^m Q_i(z') e_j$ with

$$Q_i(z') = \int_\zeta \zeta_0^{d_i} \sum_k \delta_h^{k-1} U_k \phi(\zeta) \wedge \alpha^{n+\rho}.$$

Furthermore, $\deg PQ \leq \rho + d_1 + \cdots + d_{\mu+1}$, where $\mu = \min(m-1, n)$.

Remark 1. Following Hergoualch [10], who uses an idea of Fuhrmann [7], we can reduce the case $r > 1$ to the case $r = 1$, but we will then lose precision in the degree estimates. If we wish to solve $PQ = \Phi$, as before, we can instead set $p' = p_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge p_r$ and solve $p' \cdot q_j' = \phi_j$. Note that we will then get a solution q_j' taking values in $E_1' = \Lambda^r E_1$. Since the vector space E_1' has dimension $m' = \binom{m}{r}$, the previous example will give $\deg P'Q_j' \leq \rho + d_1' + \cdots + d_{\mu'+1}'$, where $\mu' = \min(\binom{m}{r} - 1, n)$. We have $d_1' = d_1 + \cdots + d_r$, so we can make the estimate $\deg P'Q_j' \leq \rho + (\mu' + 1)(d_1 + \cdots + d_r)$.

Now, we can set

$$q_j = (-1)^j \delta_{p_r} \cdots \delta_{p_{j+1}} \delta_{p_{j-1}} \cdots \delta_{p_1} q_j'.$$

Note that q_j takes values in E_1 and that $p_j q_j = \phi_j$. Let $q = q_1 + \cdots q_r$, then we have $PQ = \Phi$, with $\deg PQ \leq \rho + (\mu' + 1)(d_1 + \cdots + d_r)$.

Remark 2. It is possible to get a slightly better result than Theorem 4.1 by means of solving successive $\bar{\partial}$-equations in the Buchsbaum-Rim complex, as is done in [3]. We will sketch this approach. First, we modify the Buchsbaum-Rim complex by taking tensor products with $\mathcal{O}(\rho)$:

$$0 \to E_{m-r+1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\rho) \xrightarrow{\delta_p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\det p} E_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\rho) \xrightarrow{p} E_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\rho) \to 0,$$

If ϕ is a section of $E_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\rho)$ and $R^f \phi = 0$, it is clear that $v = \phi U$ solves $\nabla_p v = \phi$. As in the classical Koszul complex method of solving division problems, we need to solve a succession of equations $\bar{\partial} w_k = v_k + \delta_p w_{k+1}$. The holomorphic solution will then be $v_1 + \delta_p w_2$. In order to solve the $\bar{\partial}$-equations, we need to assume that

$$m \leq n + r - 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \rho \geq \sum_1^{n+r} d_j - n,$$

where $\deg \Phi \leq \rho$. With this method, one proves that there exist polynomials $Q_1, \ldots, Q_m$ such that $\deg PQ \leq \rho$ (Theorem 1.8 in [3]).

Actually, by Proposition 5.1 in [8] we can solve $\bar{\partial}$-equations for $(0, q)$-forms taking values in $\mathcal{O}(l)$ explicitly. It is thus possible to obtain explicit solutions with these better degree estimates as well, although these solutions will be more complicated than the ones from Theorem 4.1, since they will involve μ integrations. We do not know if it is possible to find a weight such that the method in the present article yields explicit solutions $Q_1, \dots, Q_m$ such that $\deg PQ \leq \rho$.

Remark 3. In the case of the Buchsbaum-Rim complex we can at least partially find explicit Hefer forms. As in the example above, we find h such that $\delta_\eta h = p - p^z$, and we let $h_k^l = \delta_h^{k-l}$ for $k > l \geq 2$. We also have $h_1^0 = h$ and

$$h_2^1 = \sum_{k=1}^{r} (-1)^{k+1} p^1 \wedge \dots \wedge p^{k-1} \wedge h^k \wedge (p^{k+1})^z \wedge \dots \wedge (p^r)^z \otimes \epsilon_1 \wedge \dots \epsilon_r,$$

where h^k is the k:th column of h. We refrain from trying to find explicit expressions for h_k^0 for $k \geq 1$ and h_k^1 for $k \geq 2$.

We can get several corollaries to Theorem 4.1, that give conditions which ensure that $R^f \phi = 0$, and state what the estimate of the degree is in that case. First, there is a classical theorem by Macaulay [12] which says that if $r = 1$, $\Phi = 1$ and $p^1, \dots, p^m$ lack common zeroes in $\mathbb{P}^n$, then we have the estimate $\deg P^i Q_i \leq \sum_1^{n+1} d_j - n$. By means of residue currents, one can get a generalization to the case $r > 1$:

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that Z is empty. Then there exists a matrix Q of polynomials such that $PQ = I_r$, and $\deg PQ \leq \sum_1^{n+r} d_j - n$.*

This is Corollary 1.9 in [3]. By using integral representations, we can get instead

Corollary 4.3. *Assume that Z is empty. Then Theorem 4.1 yields an explicit matrix Q of polynomials such that $PQ = I_r$, and $\deg PQ \leq \sum_1^{n+r} d_j$.*

Proof. We first note that necessarily $\mu = n$ since $\operatorname{codim} Z = m - r + 1$. The result now easily follows by several application of Theorem 4.1 where one chooses the columns of I_r as Φ. $\square$

If Z is not empty, we would like some condition which guarantees that $R^f \phi = 0$. We define the pointwise norm $\|\phi\|^2 = \det(pp^*) |p^*(pp^*)^{-1} \phi|^2$.

Corollary 4.4. *If*

$$\|\phi\| \leq C \det(pp^*)^{\min(n, m-r+1)}, \tag{8}$$

then Theorem 4.1 yields explicit Q such that $PQ = \Phi$ with $\deg PQ \leq \rho + d_1 + \dots + d_{\mu+r}$, where $\mu = \min(n, m-r)$.

This follows from Proposition 1.3 in [3], which says that if (8) holds, then $R^f \phi = 0$. Just as for the previous corollary, this is not the optimal result, which states that there exists a solution Q such that $\deg PQ \leq \rho$ (see Corollary 1.10 in [3]).

Remark 4. Another possible application for Theorem 2.4 is the Eagon-Northcott complex. This is used if we want to solve the equation $(\det p) \cdot q = \phi$, where ϕ is a scalar function. The complex is given by

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_p} E_3 \xrightarrow{\delta_p} E_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_p} E_1 \xrightarrow{\det p} \mathbb{C} \to 0$$

where

$$E_k = \Lambda^{k+r-1} E_1 \otimes S^{k-1} E_0^* \otimes \det E_0^*.$$

We have already solved this equation in Remark 1, but by applying Theorem 2.4 to the Eagon-Northcott complex we can obtain a sharper degree estimate. Note that in this division problem we actually have $r = 1$ and so we could solve it by means of the Kozsul complex, but we can improve the degree estimate by choosing a complex that takes advantage of the fact that our mapping $\det p$ is constructed from another mapping p.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Andersson, *The membership problem for polynomial ideals in terms of residue currents.* Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 56 (2006), no. 1, 101–119.

[2] M. Andersson, *Integral representation with weights II.* Math. Z. (2006) 254: 315–332.

[3] M. Andersson, *Residue currents of holomorphic morphisms.* J. Reine Angew. Math. 596 (2006), 215–234.

[4] M. Andersson, *Integral representation with weights I.* Math. Ann. 326 (2003), no. 1, pp. 1–18.

[5] M. Andersson and E. Wulcan, *Residue currents with prescribed annihilator ideals.*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (to appear)

[6] Brownawell, W. D., *Bounds for the degrees in the Nullstellensatz*, Ann. of Math.(2) 126 (1987), no. 3, pp. 577–591.

[7] P. A. Fuhrmann, *On the corona theorem and its application to spectral problems in Hilbert space.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 1968 55–66.

[8] E. Götmark, *Weighted integral formulas on manifolds*, to appear in Ark. Mat.

[9] E. Götmark, *Some Applications of Weighted Integral Formulas.*, licentiate thesis, preprint no. 2005:15 from the Department of Mathematical Sciences, Göteborg University.

[10] Hergoualch, J., *Le problème de la couronne*, Mémoire, Bordeaux 2001, Autour du problème de la couronne, Thesis, Bordeaux 2004.

[11] J. Kollár, *Sharp Effective Nullstellensatz*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1998), no. 4, pp. 963–975.

[12] F. S. Macaulay, *The algebraic theory of modular systems*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1916.